

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
NEW ALBANY DIVISION

In re: ) Chapter 11  
 )  
EASTERN LIVESTOCK CO., LLC, ) Case No. 10-93904-BHL-11  
 )  
Debtor. )  
\_\_\_\_\_

**TRUSTEE’S MOTION TO APPROVE COMPROMISE  
AND SETTLEMENT WITH MONTE HAIAR**

James A. Knauer, the Chapter 11 Trustee (“Trustee”) appointed in the above captioned case (“Chapter 11 Case”) for the estate (“Estate”) of Eastern Livestock Co., LLC (“Debtor”), by counsel, respectfully moves this Court for an Order approving the proposed compromise attached as Exhibit 1 (“Settlement Agreement”) with Monte Haiar (“Haiar”). In support of this motion, the Trustee says:

**BACKGROUND & JURISDICTION**

1. Certain petitioning creditors commenced the above-captioned Chapter 11 Case against the Debtor on December 6, 2010 by filing an involuntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. This Court entered an *Order For Relief in An Involuntary Case and Order to Complete Filing* [Dkt. No. 110] on December 28, 2010. On December 27, 2010, the Court entered an Order Approving the Appointment of James A. Knauer as Chapter 11 Trustee [Dkt. No. 102] pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1104.

2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicates for the relief sought herein are 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) and FED. R. BANKR. P. 9019.

3. The Trustee filed the *Trustee’s Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation* on July 23, 2012 [Dkt. No. 1255] and the *First Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation* on October 26, 2012

[Dkt. No. 1490] (“Plan”). The Court entered an Order on December 17, 2012 [Dkt. No. 1644] (“Confirmation Order”) confirming the Plan.

### **SETTLEMENT**

4. On December 27, 2012, the Trustee commenced adversary proceeding no. 12-59143 (the “Adversary Proceeding”) against Bud Heine, Russell Garwood, Janousek Farms, Inc. and Haiar – as defendants. Haiar has denied all liability.

5. Haiar filed a proof of claim (the “POC”) in the Chapter 11 Case. The POC is designated on the official claims register maintained by The BMC Group, Inc. as Claim No. 349. Claim No. 349 asserts a priority claim totaling \$6,000.00. The Trustee filed an objection to the POC in the Chapter 11 Case [Dkt. No. 2077].

6. The Trustee and Haiar desire to resolve and settle all issues, disputes, claims, and causes of action between them without resorting to further litigation. Without admission of any fault or liability and, as a result of good faith settlement negotiations, they have agreed to settle and resolve all such issues, disputes, claims and causes of action as summarized below and more fully set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

7. Under the proposed Settlement Agreement, Haiar will pay \$7,000 (the “Settlement Amount”) to the Trustee for the benefit of the Estate. Haiar will withdraw and release any and all claims and causes of action against the Debtor’s Estate, Trustee and Trustee’s Professionals including but not limited to the POC. The Trustee will move to dismiss Haiar from the Adversary Proceeding. Haiar and the Trustee will also mutually release each other (and each other’s officers, directors, employees, shareholders, attorneys, insurers, agents and affiliates, predecessors, successors, past, present and future parents, subsidiaries, assigns and heirs) from

all claims or causes of action known or unknown, direct or indirect, related to the Chapter 11 Case.

8. In the Trustee's professional judgment the proposed compromise as set forth in Exhibit 1 is in the best interest of the Estate and its creditors. The Settlement Agreement will also resolve contentious litigation.

9. The proposed Settlement will be effective upon the entry of a final, non-appealable order approving the Settlement.

10. In accordance with the terms of the Plan and in recognition of the strengths and weaknesses of the Parties' claims in light of the evidence obtained, the Settlement Amount will become part of the Collateral Fund (as that term is defined in the Plan).

#### **BRIEF IN SUPPORT**

##### **A. The Settlement Is Fair and in the Best Interests of the Debtor's Estate and Should Be Authorized Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a).**

11. Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) authorizes a bankruptcy court, on motion by a trustee and after appropriate notice and a hearing, to approve a compromise or settlement so long as the proposed compromise or settlement is fair and equitable and in the best interest of the estate. See Protective Comm. for Indep. Stockholders of TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson, 390 U.S. 414, 424 (1968); Depoister v. Mary M. Holloway Found., 36 F.3d 582, 586 (7th Cir. 1994) ("In conducting a hearing under Rule 9019(a), the bankruptcy court is to determine whether the proposed compromise is fair and equitable and in the best interests of the bankruptcy estate.") (internal citations omitted); In re Andreuccetti, 975 F.2d 413, 421 (7th Cir. 1992) (Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) authorizes the court to approve a settlement if "the settlement is in the best interests of the estate."); In re Energy Co-op, Inc., 886 F.2d 921, 927 (7th Cir. 1989) ("[t]he benchmark

for determining the propriety of a bankruptcy settlement is whether the settlement is in the best interests of the estate.”).

12. Compromises are tools for expediting the administration of the case and reducing administrative costs and are favored in bankruptcy. See Fogel v. Zell, 221 F.3d 955, 960 (7th Cir. 2000) (“Judges naturally prefer to settle complex litigation than to see it litigated to the hilt, especially when it is litigation in a bankruptcy proceeding — the expenses of administering the bankruptcy often consume most or even all of the bankrupt’s assets.”); In re Martin, 91 F.3d 389, 393 (3d Cir. 1996) (“To minimize litigation and expedite the administration of a bankruptcy estate, compromises are favored in bankruptcy”).

13. It is well-settled that a proposed settlement need not be the best result that the debtor could have achieved, but only must fall “within the reasonable range of litigation possibilities.” Energy Co-op, 886 F.2d at 929.

14. As further guidance, the Seventh Circuit has offered the following guidelines:

Central to the bankruptcy judge’s determination is a comparison of the settlement’s terms with the litigation’s probable costs and probable benefits. Among the factors the bankruptcy judge should consider in [the] analysis are the litigation’s probability of success, the litigation’s complexity, and the litigation’s attendant expense, inconvenience, and delay.

LaSalle Nat’l Bank v. Holland (In re Am. Reserve Corp.), 841 F.2d 159, 161 (7th Cir. 1987)

(citations omitted).

15. The Settlement Agreement, negotiated at arm’s length and in good faith, achieves a result that is in the best interest of the Debtor and its Estate. As set forth more specifically in Exhibit 1, the Settlement Agreement resolves the pending litigation without further motion practice, discovery or a trial.

16. For these reasons, the Settlement Agreement maximizes the value of the Estate's assets and minimizes the burden to the Estate. The Settlement Agreement should be approved pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019.

### **NOTICE**

17. The Trustee will provide notice of this Motion pursuant to FED. R. BANK. P. 2002(a)(3) or as otherwise directed by this Court. The Notice shall include a definitive time in which any party will be required to file and serve an objection stating with specificity its objection.

### **NO PRIOR REQUEST**

18. No prior motion for the relief requested herein has been made to the Court in this case.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the Trustee respectfully request that this Court enter an order approving the Settlement Agreement, as it is in the best interests of the Estate and its creditors.

Respectfully submitted,

FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP

By: /s/ Harmony Mappes

*Counsel for James A. Knauer, Chapter 11 Trustee*

Terry E. Hall (#22041-49)  
Kevin M. Toner (#11343-49)  
Harmony Mappes(#27237-49)  
300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2700  
Indianapolis, IN 46204-1782  
Telephone: (317) 237-0300  
Facsimile: (317) 237-1000  
terry.hall@faegrebd.com  
kevin.toner@faegrebd.com  
harmony.mappes@faegrebd.com

Wendy W. Ponader (#14633-49)  
 Dustin R. DeNeal (#27535-49)  
 600 East 96th Street, Suite 600  
 Indianapolis, IN 46240  
 Telephone: (317) 569-9600  
 Facsimile: (317) 569-4800  
 wendy.ponader@faegrebd.com  
 dustin.deneal@faegrebd.com

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on July 30, 2015, a copy of the foregoing pleading was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to the following parties through the Court's Electronic Case Filing System. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

David L. Abt  
 davidabt@mwt.net

Amelia Martin Adams  
 aadams@dlgfir.com

John W. Ames  
 james@bgdlegal.com

Kay Dee Baird  
 kbaird@kdlegal.com

Christopher E. Baker  
 cbaker@thbklaw.com

Robert A. Bell  
 rabell@vorys.com

C. R. Bowles, Jr  
 cbowles@bgdlegal.com

David W. Brangers  
 dbrangers@lawyer.com

Steven A. Brehm  
 sbrehm@bgdlegal.com

Kent A Britt  
 kabritt@vorys.com

Kayla D. Britton  
 kayla.britton@faegrebd.com

Joe Lee Brown  
 Joe.Brown@Hardincounty.biz

Lisa Koch Bryant  
 courtmail@fbhlaw.net

John R. Burns, III  
 john.burns@faegrebd.com

John R. Carr, III  
 jrciii@acs-law.com

Deborah Caruso  
 dcaruso@daleeke.com

Ben T. Caughey  
 ben.caughey@icemiller.com

Bret S. Clement  
 bclement@acs-law.com

Joshua Elliott Clubb  
 joshclubb@gmail.com

Jason W. Cottrell  
 jwc@stuartlaw.com

Kirk Crutcher  
 kcrutcher@mcs-law.com

Jack S. Dawson  
 jdawson@millerdollarhide.com

Dustin R. DeNeal  
 dustin.deneal@faegrebd.com

Laura Day Delcotto  
 ldelcotto@dlgfir.com

David Alan Domina  
 dad@dominalaw.com

Daniel J. Donnellon  
 ddonnellon@fidlaw.com

Trevor L. Earl  
 tearl@rswvlaw.com

Shawna M. Eikenberry  
 shawna.eikenberry@faegrebd.com

Jeffrey R. Erler  
 jerler@ghjhlaw.com

William K. Flynn  
 wkflynn@strausstroy.com

Robert Hughes Foree  
 robertforee@bellsouth.net

Sandra D. Freeburger  
 sfreeburger@dsf-atty.com

Melissa S. Giberson  
 msgiberson@vorys.com

Thomas P. Glass  
 tpglass@strausstroy.com

Patrick B. Griffin  
 patrick.griffin@kutakrock.com

Terry E. Hall  
 terry.hall@faegrebd.com

Paul M. Hoffman  
 paul.hoffmann@stinsonleonard.com

John David Hoover  
 jdhoover@hooverhull.com

John Huffaker  
 john.huffaker@sprouselaw.com

Jeffrey L Hunter  
 jeff.hunter@usdoj.gov

Jay Jaffe  
 jay.jaffe@faegrebd.com

James Bryan Johnston  
 bjtxas59@hotmail.com

Todd J. Johnston  
 tjohnston@mcjllp.com

Jill Zengler Julian  
 Jill.Julian@usdoj.gov

Jay P. Kennedy  
 jpk@kgrlaw.com

Edward M King  
tking@fbtllaw.com

Theodore A. Konstantinopoulos  
ndohbkky@jbandr.com

David L. LeBas  
dlebas@namanhowell.com

Elliott D. Levin  
edl@rubin-levin.net

Karen L. Lobring  
lobring@msn.com

Harmony A. Mappes  
harmony.mappes@faegrebd.com

Kelly Greene McConnell  
lisahughes@givenspursley.com

William Robert Meyer, II  
rmeyer@stites.com

Allen Morris  
amorris@stites.com

Matthew Daniel Neumann  
mneumann@hhclaw.com

Matthew J. Ochs  
kim.maynes@moyewhite.com

Ross A. Plourde  
ross.plourde@mcafeetaft.com

Timothy T. Pridmore  
tpridmore@mcjllp.com

Eric W. Richardson  
ewrichardson@vorys.com

Mark A. Robinson  
mrobinson@vhlaw.com

Joseph H. Rogers  
jrogers@millerdollarhide.com

Niccole R. Sadowski  
nsadowski@thbklaw.com

Ivana B. Shallcross  
ishallcross@bgdlegal.com

James E. Smith, Jr.  
jsmith@smithakins.com

Joshua N. Stine  
kabritt@vorys.com

Meredith R. Theisen  
mtheisen@daleeke.com

Christopher M. Trapp  
ctrapp@rubin-levin.net

Andrew James Vandiver  
avandiver@aswdlaw.com

James A. Knauer  
jak@kgllaw.com

Randall D. LaTour  
rdlatour@vorys.com

Martha R. Lehman  
mlehman@kdlegal.com

Kim Martin Lewis  
kim.lewis@dinslaw.com

Jason A. Lopp  
jlopp@wyattfirm.com

John Frederick Massouh  
john.massouh@sprouselaw.com

James Edwin McGhee  
mcghee@derbycitylaw.com

Kevin J. Mitchell  
kevin.mitchell@faegrebd.com

Judy Hamilton Morse  
judy.morse@crowedunlevy.com

Walter Scott Newbern  
wsnewbern@msn.com

Jessica Lynn Olsheski  
jessica.olsheski@justice-law.net

Brian Robert Pollock  
bpollock@stites.com

Anthony G. Raluy  
traluy@fbhlaw.net

Joe T. Roberts  
jratty@windstream.net

Jeremy S. Rogers  
Jeremy.Rogers@dinslaw.com

James E. Rossow  
jim@rubin-levin.net

Thomas C. Scherer  
tscherer@bgdlegal.com

Sarah Elizabeth Sharp  
sarah.sharp@faegrebd.com

William E. Smith, III  
wsmith@k-glaw.com

Andrew D. Stosberg  
astosberg@lloydmc.com

John M. Thompson  
john.thompson@crowedunlevy.com

Chrisandrea L. Turner  
clturner@stites.com

Andrea L. Wasson  
andrea@wassonthornhill.com

Erick P. Knoblock  
eknoblock@daleeke.com

David A. Laird  
david.laird@moyewhite.com

Scott R. Leisz  
sleisz@bgdlegal.com

James B. Lind  
jblind@vorys.com

John Hunt Lovell  
john@lovell-law.net

Michael W. McClain  
mmcclain@mcclaindeweese.com

Brian H. Meldrum  
bmeldrum@stites.com

Terrill K. Moffett  
kendalcantrell@moffettlaw.com

Erin Casey Nave  
enave@taftlaw.com

Shiv Ghuman O'Neill  
shiv.oneill@faegrebd.com

Michael Wayne Oyler  
moyler@rwsvlaw.com

Wendy W. Ponader  
wendy.ponader@faegrebd.com

Eric C. Redman  
ksmith@redmanludwig.com

David Cooper Robertson  
crobertson@stites.com

John M. Rogers  
johnr@rubin-levin.net

Steven Eric Runyan  
ser@kgllaw.com

Stephen E. Schilling  
seschilling@strausstroy.com

Suzanne M Shehan  
suzanne.shehan@kutakrock.com

Amanda Dalton Stafford  
ads@kgllaw.com

Matthew R. Strzynski  
indyattorney@hotmail.com

Kevin M. Toner  
kevin.toner@faegrebd.com

U.S. Trustee  
ustpreion10.in.ecf@usdoj.gov

Jennifer Watt  
jwatt@kgllaw.com

Ronald J. Moore  
Ronald.Moore@usdoj.gov

Sean T. White  
swhite@hooverhull.com

Michael Benton Willey  
michael.willey@ag.tn.gov

Jason P. Wischmeyer  
jason@wischmeyerlaw.com

James T. Young  
james@rubin-levin.net

John D Dale, Jr.  
Johndaleatty@msn.com

I further certify that on July 30, 2015, a copy of the foregoing pleading was served via electronic mail transmission on the following:

Thomas Richard Alexander, II  
tra@rgba-law.com

/s/ Harmony Mappes